

1.

3.

4.



1

1.

2.

		2					
		A	B	C	D	E	F
(1)		-1.63	-2.88	1.81	3.14	0.11	0.31
		-0.72	-1.49	-0.62	0.60	-0.49	-0.54
		-0.02	0.88	0.24	1.15	0.92	0.25
(1)		-0.74	1.15	-0.52	-0.62	0.16	-0.63
(1)		0.14	-3.33	0.48	1.85	-3.19	-0.45
(1)		3.33	0.77	2.19	-4.48	-19.41	-0.84
(2)		1.42	4.10	0.43	-6.26	-22.27	-0.12
(3)		-0.98	0.87	4.17	0.51	-22.64	-1.35
(1)		2.91	-0.40	0.79	0.36	-1.68	0.87
(2)		2.78	0.54	1.47	-0.16	-2.35	1.81
(3)		-0.30	0.54	0.56	0.52	-1.94	0.97
(1)		-6.26	-1.83	0.58	1.94	-0.39	-0.23
(1)		-3.45	-1.61	1.92	1.61	0.53	-0.16
(1)		-2.39	0.36	0.51	2.27	0.14	-0.15
(1)		-0.13	1.71	1.18	-1.34	-1.31	-1.59
(1)		3.11	1.95	-3.70	-2.54	-1.15	-3.62
(1)		-3.05	-3.69	-0.34	-3.54	-0.94	-0.85
		3.80	4.09	1.14	-6.58	0.39	-0.30
		121	121	121	121	121	121
-2		128.86	84.56	77.52	91.99	80.96	85.17
Nagelkerke R ²		0.26	0.58	0.70	0.62	0.24	0.46

**Factors Influencing Knowledge Transfer Pathways of
University-enterprises
Based on the Scientific Researchers**

Liu Jihong Yu Xuejia

Abstract:

Key words: