

---

1.

1

6

2

Cobb- Douglas

|            |      |      |   |            |
|------------|------|------|---|------------|
| 1990- 2014 | 2006 | 2012 | 2 | 1990       |
| 2014       |      | 24.5 |   | 2001- 2014 |
| 28.2%      | ,    |      |   |            |

Cobb- Douglas

GDP      GDP

2014

|   |                            |      |
|---|----------------------------|------|
| 4   | Cobb-Douglas               |      |
| 1-  |                            |      |
| 1   |                            | 3 %  |
|   | t                          |      |
| F   |                            |      |
| Durbin-Watson   | 1990                       |      |
|   | 1991                       |      |
|   | 1992                       |      |
|   | 1993                       |      |
|   | 1994                       |      |
|   | 1995                       |      |
|   | 1996                       |      |
|   | 1997                       |      |
|   | 1998                       |      |
|   | 1999                       |      |
|   | 1999                       |      |
|   | 2000                       |      |
| BG  | 2001                       |      |
|   | 2002                       |      |
|   | 2003                       |      |
|   | 2004                       |      |
|   | 2005                       |      |
|   | 2006                       |      |
| $\ln(Y/L) = 0.302326 + 0.793094 \ln(K/L) + [AR(1) = 1.198323, AR(2) = -0.631618]$ |                            |      |
|   | 2                          |      |
| 1990  |                            |      |
|   | 2                          |      |
|   | 3                          |      |
| 5   |                            |      |
|   | 2006    2013    2          | 1992 |
| 1993  | GDP    3.5    3.8          |      |
|   | 2000    1.56    1990-2013  |      |
| 1996  | 24.5    2001-2013    28.2% |      |
|   | '                          |      |
|   | '                          |      |

1

2008

2016/2



---

67.1%

5000

3

|     | 2013  | 2014  | 2369 | / | 3347 | /    |
|-----|-------|-------|------|---|------|------|
| 320 | 387   |       |      |   | 2013 | 2014 |
|     | 62.9% | 62.7% |      |   |      |      |

|      |      |      |     |
|------|------|------|-----|
| 2014 | 53.4 | 5.75 | 3.3 |
| 73%  |      | 10%  |     |
| 2.6% | 0.9% |      |     |

4

|  | 5 | %<br>2008 2012 2014 |                  |                |                  |                  |
|--|---|---------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|
|  |   |                     |                  |                |                  |                  |
|  |   | 336.91<br>29.60     | 1641.36<br>67.61 | 431.3<br>43.13 | 2308.1<br>78.98  | 455.35<br>45.3   |
|  |   | 376.41<br>41.40     | 1213.25<br>66.08 | 460.7<br>46.07 | 1646.53<br>73.76 | 451.19<br>44.9   |
|  |   | 179.11<br>19.70     | 1052.24<br>43.34 | -<br>-         | 1332.2<br>45.59  | 413.33<br>41.13  |
|  |   | 395.63<br>43.52     | 1055.71<br>43.52 | 544.9<br>54.49 | 1420.74<br>48.61 | 547.0<br>54.43   |
|  |   |                     |                  |                |                  | 1540.11<br>48.43 |

|        |            |
|--------|------------|
| 2008   | 336.91     |
| 2013   | 440.85     |
| 29.6%  | 43.8% 2014 |
| 455.35 |            |

41.4% 19.7% 43.52%

56.13% 60.4% 90.8%

5

|                            | 2014     | 2013     | % Change |
|----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Net sales                  | \$ 795   | \$ 795   | 0%       |
| Net sales by product line: |          |          |          |
| Automotive                 | \$ 35.5% | \$ 20.1% | 7.3%     |
| Consumer                   | \$ 20%   | \$ 17.7% | 15.7%    |

6

|       |    |       |
|-------|----|-------|
|       | 16 | 7989  |
| 55.5% |    |       |
| 4.1%  |    | 27.5% |
| 12.9% | 4  |       |
|       | 2  |       |

---

1.

50      100

5

2

1

2

"                "                (        )

3

3

1

2

3

4

4.

1

---

|               |    |              |   |      |      |      |
|---------------|----|--------------|---|------|------|------|
| 2             |    |              |   |      |      |      |
| 3             |    |              |   |      |      |      |
| 4             |    |              |   |      |      |      |
| 5             |    |              |   |      |      |      |
| 1.            |    |              |   |      |      |      |
| 2             |    |              |   |      |      | 2010 |
| 6             |    |              |   |      |      |      |
| 3             |    |              |   |      | 2001 | 2    |
| 4             | U  | "            | " | 1994 | 5    |      |
| 5             |    |              |   | 2000 |      |      |
| 6             |    |              |   |      |      | 2009 |
| 7.            |    | --           |   |      |      |      |
| 2006          | 10 |              |   |      |      |      |
| 8             |    | 1950- 1970 , |   | 1988 |      |      |
| 9. 1991- 2014 |    |              |   |      |      |      |
| 10            |    |              |   | 2008 | 9    |      |

The present article starts with the definition of migrant workers and migrant workers' influence on economic development and modernization. Next, by using time series data to establish the Cobb-Douglas production function model, it carries out a quantitative analysis of migrant workers' contribution to economic growth. Meanwhile, a questionnaire survey of migrant workers in Jiangsu Province is conducted and an empirical analysis is made on the basis of the results.

migrant workers; citizenization; empirical analysis; suggestions on solutions