
16

20

20

20

Armer

and Katsillis, 2001

cy theory

Hans Singer

Raul Prebisch

(dependen

Marger, 1991

20

Armer and Katsillis, 2001

Galland

and Lemel 2006 11 20

Inglehart and Baker,

2000 DiMaggio, 1994

Gusfield 1967

Siegle 2002

Marini, 2013

(World Value Survey)

25

19

1868

Craig, 2003

20

1894- 1895

1876

1904

Murphey, 2003

19

Yoshiie Yoda 1997

Hideo Kishimoto

Hirai, 1999

1919

(Sati)

1840

1840

1911

20

20

1949

20

20

20

20

20 1971

1972

1974

1975

20 25 20

1983

1976

2001

2

-
4. Galland, Olivier and Yannick Lemel. 2008. Tradition vs. Modernity: The Continuing Dichotomy of Values in European Society. *Revue Francaise De Sociologie* 49: 153- 186.
 5. Gusfield, Joseph R. 1967. Tradition and Modernity: Misplaced Polarities in the Study of Social Change. *American Journal of Sociology* 72(4): 351- 362.
 6. Hirai, Naofusa. 1999 (1983). Traditional Cultures and Modernization: Several Problems in the Case of Japan. *Cultural Identity and Modernization in Asian Countries*. Institute for Japanese Culture and Classics, Kokugakuin University. Retrieved on December 10, 2015 at <http://www2.kokugakuin.ac.jp/ijcc/wp/cimac/hirai.html>.
 7. Inglehart, R and W. E. Baker. 2000. Modernization, Culture Change and the Persistence of Traditional Values. *American Sociological Review* 65(1): 19- 51.
 8. Madan, Triloki N. 1983. Cultural Identity and Modernization in Asian Countries: Some Indian Questions Soliciting Japanese Answers. *Cultural Identity and Modernization in Asian Countries*. Institute for Japanese Culture and Classics, Kokugakuin University. Retrieved on December 10, 2015 at <http://www2.kokugakuin.ac.jp/ijcc/wp/cimac/madan.html>.
 9. Marger, Martin. 1991. Race and Ethnic Relations: American and Global Perspectives. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.
 10. Marini, Matteo. 2013. The Traditions of Modernity. *The Journal of Socio-Economics* 47: 205- 217.
 11. Murphey, Rhoads. 2003. A History of Asia, Fourth Edition. New York: Addison- Wesley Educational Publishers Inc.
 12. Noordegraaf, Mirko and Jeroen Vermeulen. 2010. Culture in Action: The Rotterdam Approach as Modernization through Tradition. *Public Administration* Vol. 88(2): 513- 527.
 13. Schein, E. 1989. *Organizational Culture and Leadership*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey Bass.
 14. Siegle, Robert B. 2002. Chapter 4, Tradition and Modernity, *Mirror to Mirror: Postmodernity in South Asian Fiction*. Digitally published by the Center for Digital Discourse and Culture at Virginia Tech.
 15. Tax Foundation. 2014. Federal Individual Income Tax Rates History, 1862- 2013. Retrieved on February 12, 2014 at <http://www.scribd.com/doc/190499803/Fed-U-S-Federal-Individual-Income-Tax-Rates-History-1862-2013>.
 16. Yoda, Yoshiie. 1996. *The Foundations of Japan's Modernization: A Comparison with China's Path towards Modernization*. Translated by Kurt W. Radtke. New York: E.J. Brill.
 17. - 1983

[责任编辑:方心清]

A Comprehensive Knowledge of the Relation Between Tradition and Modernization

Wang Tiande

Abstract This paper intends to improve our understanding of modernization theories and clarify the differences between modernization and westernization. It increases our understanding of the relationship between tradition and modernization through summarizing the empirical research of the relationship between the two variables by Western scholars, reviewing the modernization experiences of Japan and India, and revisiting the contradictions between tradition and modernization in China's social development. Finally, the author attempts to distinguish the positive elements from the negative ones in both Chinese and Western cultures. It is the author's hope that such an effort would lead to systematic distinction between the positive and negative elements in the traditional Chinese culture and Western culture to promote a healthy and smooth development of the Chinese society.

Keywords: theories of modernization; dependence theory; traditional culture; socioeconomic development